IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 24813 of 2003

Between:

1 P.B. Sarma, S/o. Sri P.V.R.Sarma
R/o.H.no.12/3RT Saidabad Colony Hyderabad.
2 V. Raji Reddy, S/o. V. Venkat Reddy ,
H.No.351/21, Jawaharnagar Colony, Moulali Hyderabad
3 B. Purnachandra Rao, S/o. Sri B.Appa Rao ,
H.No.5-11-298, Meerpet, Hyderabad.
4 D.Damodar Raju, S/o. D. Ranga Raju,
R/o. H.No.20-175, Indira Nehru Nagar Malkajgiri, Hyderabad
5 M. Gopalji Sharma, S/o. Dineshwar Sharma ,
R/o. SRT No.76, Sanathnagar, Hyderabad
6 S.S.R.Sastry S/o. S. Kameshwar Rao
R/o.H.No.B-14, F-19 [MIG-II] Baghlingampalli, Hyderabad.
7 K. Venkateswarlu, S/o. Sri K. Babu Rao,
H.No. 45-208, East Anandbagh, N.M.D.C.Colony, Malakajgiri,

Hyderabad.
8 Sahibjani S/o. Wazabali
R/o. H.No.12-11-831/2/A, Eswaribainagar, Warsiguda,
Secunderabad.
9 D. Narasaiah S/o. Sri D. Muthayalu
R/o. H.No.12-1-5-8/14, Lakshminagar, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONERS.

AND

HMT Bearings Limited [A subsidiary of HMT Limited]
Moulaali, Hyderabad-500040 rep., by its Managing Director.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the proceedings Ref.No. HMTB/2003 dated 27-

10-2003 proposing to retire the petitioners before attaining the age of 60 years is illegal and in violation of Section 7 of the Industrial Employment [Standing Orders] Act 1946 and consequently direct the respondent to continue the petitioners till they attain the age of 60 years in terms of certified standing orders of the company existing as on the date.

Counsel for the Petitioners:MR.G.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondent : DR.P.B.VIJAY KUMAR.

The Court made the following :

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

The learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner submits that since the main appeal itself is already disposed of, the cause in the writ petition has become infructuous.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

B. PRAKASH RAO, J

23rd December, 2004.

Chvn.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER.

To

1. The Managing Director HMT Bearings Limited [A subsidiary of HMT Limited] Moulaali, Hyderabad-500 040.

2. Two C.D. copies.